11

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM, RELEASE IN FULL

2.355

22 April 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
SUBJECT: Review of NIE-84: "Probable Developments in Guatemala"

The Board of National Estimates has reviewed the conclusions of NIE-8h, "Probable Developments in Guatemala", which was adopted by the IAC on 12 May 1953. In the course of this review the Board consulted with Ambassador Pewrifoy, DD/P/WH (Col. King), OTR (Mr. Burgin), and G-2 (Col. Hennig), but the present memorandum has not been formally coordinated. Discussion of critical aspects of the problem is contained in the Enclosure.

CONCLUSIONS

1 lo We consider that the conclusions of NIE-84 remain essentially valid. In particular, we reaffirm the first conclusion, as follows:

The current political situation in Guatemala is adverse to US interests. The Guatemalan Communists exercise a political influence far out of proportion to their small numerical strength. Their influence will probably continue to grow as long as President Arbenz remains in power.

2. The Communists now effectively control the political life of Guatemala. Arbenz decisions on domestic and foreign policy are reached, not in the official cabinet, but in a kitchen cabinet composed of Communists and pro-Communists.

There is no prospect of a break between Arbens and the Communists.

3° There has probably been an increase in popular disillusionment with the Arbens regime. There is certainly increased desperation among opposition elements. In present circumstances, however, the possibility of effective internal political action to alter the situation does not exist. We believe that effective revolutionary action would require the active support of a major portion of the Army.

lio The disposition of the Army toward the regime is therefore crucial. We note indications of unrest, even of disaffection, within the Army and consider that a revolutionary potential now exists there. We do not believe, however, that the Guatemalan Army is likely to take spontaneous action against the Arbens regime.

5. The Communists will be concerned to neutralize the revolutionary potential in the Army, and, with the passage of time, may succeed in doing so.

6-33-33 3-33-33-33

- 6. The solidarity of the other Central American states in opposition to Guatemala has weakened during the past year and may further decrease.
- 7. In view of the foregoing considerations, we believe that time is on the side of the Communists in Guatemala.

HAROLD R. BULL Lt. Gen., USA (Ret.) Acting Assistant Director National Estimates

ENCLOSURE

The Growth of Communist Political Influence

188

1. The first conclusion of NIE-84 reads as follows:

The current political situation in Guatemala is adverse to US interests. The Guatemalan Communists exercise a political influence far out of proportion to their small numerical strength. Their influence will probably continue to grow as long as President Arbenz remains in power.

- 2. This conclusion remains valid. Under the patronage of Arbenz, Communist influence in Guatemala has grown during the past year and will probably continue to grow. This growth is not the result of any immovation, but of a year's further development along lines previously established.

 For example:
 - a. There has been further development in the organization of rural workers as a political force under Communist influence and control (as was anticipated in the sixth conclusion of NIE-84). The Communists have demonstrated a capability for the rapid mobilization and assembly of considerable numbers of these workers for political demonstrations.

- b. The Communists are probably also capable of mobilizing up to 20,000 of these workers as an armed militia available to support the regime in an emergency. We cannot confirm reports of the existence of such a para-military force, but would consider it a logical development in the circumstances. There is good reason to believe that the required quantities of small arms have been distributed and cached under the control of Communist agrarian organizers. It is not apparent that this putative militia has received any appreciable military training. Even without such training, however, a substantial number of rural workers, armed and organized, could exert considerable political and military force.
- c. The Communists have strengthened their control of the pro-Arbenz political parties. The Communist Party itself is small, but crypto-Communists control the other parties in the pro-Arbenz coalition and through them effectively control the political life of the country.

3845-2

d. There is good reason to believe that Arbenz' decisions on Guatemalan domestic and foreign policy are reached, not in the official cabinet, but in a kitchen cabinet composed of four Communists and six pro-Communists.

Arbenz' Commitment to the Communists

3. The third conclusion of NIE-84 reads as follows:

President Arbenz still exercises personal control of the Administration and of the Army and the Police. It is still possible for him to break his ties with the Communists and to moderate the policies of his Administration, but it is highly unlikely that he will do so.

4. This conclusion remains valid, but the likelihood of a break between Arbenz and the Communists is even more remote than it was a year ago. He is too deeply committed, emotionally and politically, to extricate himself.

The Absence of Effective Political Opposition

2000

5. The key sentences of the seventh conclusion of NIE-84 read as follows:

There is no likelihood that [internal] opposition could alter the course of the Government by political action. It could not succeed in a revolutionary attempt opposed by the Army.

6. We believe that this conclusion remains valid. There has probably been an increase of popular disillusionment with the Arbenz regime. There is certainly increased desperation among opposition elements. In present circumstances, however, the possibility of effective political action does not exist. We continue to believe that effective revolutionary action

would require the active support of a major portion of the Army.

The Position of the Guatemalan Army

455

7. The eighth conclusion of NIE-84 reads as follows:

The Army is the only organized element in Guatemala capable of rapidly and decisively altering the political situation. Although a quick change of attitude is always possible, there is no present reason to doubt the continued loyalty of the Army high command and of most of the Army to Arbenz. The Army under its present leaders could not be expected to take revolutionary action unless they became convinced that their personal security and well-being were threatened by Communist infiltration and domination of the Government...

8. We consider the probable action of the Army to be the critical factor in the situation. In modification of the second sentence of the quoted paragraph, we note certain indications of unrest, even of disaffection, among Army officers which suggest that the precondition for revolutionary action specified in the third sentence may be approaching fulfillment.

G-2 (Colonel Hennig), however, would reaffirm the second sentence, stressing the watchful control which Arbenz exercises over the Army command, the considerations of personal advantage which bind key officers to the regime, and the disposition of the rank-and-file to follow their leaders. This difference is a matter of emphasis rather than of essential substance. All

would agree that a revolutionary potential now exists in the Guatemalan Army, but that the Guatemalan Army is not likely to take spontaneous action against the Arbens regime.

9. The Communists will be concerned to neutralize the revolutionary potential in the Army. With the passage of time they may succeed in doing so by: (a) a gradual purge of disaffected officers; (b) subversion of the enlisted personnel; (c) a gradual reduction of the military capabilities of the Army by the government's failure to replace used weapons, equipment, and ammunition; and (d) further development of a Communist-controlled workers' militia as a counter-balancing force. There are current indications of action along these several lines.

The Position of Other Central American Republics

SEER

10. The minth conclusion of NIE-84 reads as follows:

...The Governments of El Salvador, Honduras, and Nicaragua...are fearful that the trend in Guatemala will lead to Communist subversion and social upheaval in their own territories. They are probably giving serious consideration to the possibility of effecting a political change in Guatemala through clandestime support of revolutionary action there. It is highly unlikely, however, that they would or could mount an open military intervention in Guatemala.

- 11. This conclusion remains valid. Prospective US military aid to these countries will take time to become effective and is not likely to alter the situation substantially. To the extent that it reassures them regarding their security against Guatemalan retaliation, it may embolden these countries to render clandestine support to revolutionary activities in Guatemala. Open military intervention would be a doubtful adventure at best, all the more so in view of Latin American sensitivity on the subject of intervention as recently demonstrated anew at the Caracas Conference.
- 12. The current imbroglio between Nicaragua and Costa
 Rica has weakened Central American solidarity in relation to
 Guatemala. If long continued, it may cause Costa Rica to look
 to Guatemala for support. The approaching election in Honduras
 presents opportunities for Guatemalan intrigue in that country
 and the possibility of an adverse change there.